

October 25, 2011

This is an advertisement.

Spotlight on Tennessee: Department of Revenue Issues Guidance for Financially Troubled Companies

Very recently, the Tennessee Department of Revenue (TDR) published Letter Ruling #11-44 addressing many issues surrounding income or gain that may arise from the discharge of indebtedness (DOI), as well as the ancillary effects such a discharge or cancellation may have on other tax attributes.

Discharge of Indebtedness Income

Generally, the Internal Revenue Code (IRC) includes in income an amount equal to any debt owed by a taxpayer that is in any way discharged or cancelled. However, there are exceptions to this general rule of inclusion in income that typically apply to financially troubled taxpayers. Such exceptions may allow for the exclusion of DOI from income to the extent that a taxpayer is insolvent, or if the amounts were discharged in bankruptcy. Although the DOI income may initially escape taxation, the IRC does also require that several tax attributes of the taxpayer, such as net operating losses (NOLs), be reduced. The IRC also allows a taxpayer to elect to take a reduction in the basis of depreciable property by the amount of excluded DOI income, though such a reduction may also be required after all other tax attributes have been exhausted. These reductions represent an attempt by Congress to eliminate a double tax benefit.

Although Tennessee's franchise and excise tax law generally conforms to the IRC, there have apparently been questions as to whether or not such conformity extends to situations involving DOI.

Letter Ruling #11-44

This Letter Ruling first addresses whether Tennessee excludes debt discharged in bankruptcy from net earnings for purposes of the Tennessee excise tax. For purposes of the Tennessee excise tax, "net earnings" is generally federal taxable income with some specific statutory exceptions. According to the ruling, an amount otherwise excludable from income under the IRC due its discharge in bankruptcy should not be included in net earnings. The ruling concludes that state law does not require that the discharged amount otherwise be added back to income as determined for federal tax purposes.

The ruling next addresses whether a taxpayer must reduce an NOL for the year in which a DOI via a bankruptcy proceeding occurred. The IRC requires that when reducing NOLs for DOI income that is excluded from

Continued

Spotlight on Tennessee: Department of Revenue Issues Guidance for Financially Troubled Companies, *continued*

taxable income, a taxpayer should first reduce a NOL for the current year and only after that loss is exhausted should they begin reducing the NOL for prior years. However, for purposes of the Tennessee excise tax, the ruling states that DOI income is not included in net earnings (as discussed above), and the Tennessee NOL is defined independently from the IRC as the excess of deductions over net earnings. Thus, according to the ruling, DOI income simply cannot, in absence of specific provision such as that in the IRC, reduce the current year NOL. The ruling also states that no reduction is required to be made for the amount of prior year NOLs.

As discussed above, a taxpayer may elect for federal income tax purposes to reduce the basis in certain assets by the amount of DOI income excluded instead of reducing certain tax attributes, though the basis in these assets may also be reduced should all other tax attributes be exhausted or nonexistent. In addressing the basis reduction, the ruling simply states that since no specific state law requires a reduction in the basis of depreciable assets for excludable DOI income, none is required. This reasoning is consistent with the TDR's position on reductions to NOLs discussed in the previous paragraph.

Finally, the ruling addresses the limitation on the use of NOLs under Section 382 of the IRC. Section 382 is designed to prevent the trafficking of tax attributes such as NOLs, and therefore imposes limitations on the use of such losses when more than 50 percent of a taxpayer's stock has changed ownership within a three year period. The ruling states that the Tennessee excise tax law contains no limitation on the use of NOLs upon a mere change in stock ownership when the entity that generated the losses remains in existence as a separate entity.

Conclusion

It is important to note that Letter Ruling #11-44, like most such rulings, is binding only on the taxpayer that requested it and cannot be relied upon as official guidance. Nevertheless, it is useful to corporations and other limited liability taxpayers as an indication of the TDR's likely positions on a number of important issues involving DOI. To further discuss the potential effects that this ruling, or the TDR's possible positions on similar issues, please feel free to contact any of the following attorneys within the Firm's Tax Department:

Memphis, Tennessee

| | | |
|------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|
| William H.D. Fones Jr. | 901.577.2247 | wfones@bakerdonelson.com |
| Mary Ann Jackson | 901.577.8113 | mjackson@bakerdonelson.com |
| Charles E. Pierce | 901.577.2164 | cpierce@bakerdonelson.com |

East Memphis, Tennessee

| | | |
|--------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|
| James R. "Josh" Hall Jr. | 901.579.3126 | joshhall@bakerdonelson.com |
|--------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|

Continued

Spotlight on Tennessee: Department of Revenue Issues Guidance for Financially Troubled Companies, *continued*

Christopher J. Coats 901.579.3127 ccoats@bakerdonelson.com

Nashville, Tennessee

Carolyn W. Schott 615.726.7312 cschott@bakerdonelson.com

John B. Burns 615.726.5599 jburns@bakerdonelson.com

Daniel A. Stephenson 615.726.5678 dstephenson@bakerdonelson.com

Chattanooga, Tennessee

Carl E. Hartley 423.756.2010 chartley@bakerdonelson.com

Virginia C. Love 423.209.4118 vlove@bakerdonelson.com

Sara E. McManus 423.209.4124 smcmanus@bakerdonelson.com

Knoxville, Tennessee

L. Eric Ebbert 865.971.5182 eebbert@bakerdonelson.com

Atlanta, Georgia

Nedom A. Haley 404.221.6505 nhaley@bakerdonelson.com

Michael M. Smith 404.589.3419 mmsmith@bakerdonelson.com

Michael S. Evans 404.221.6517 mevans@bakerdonelson.com

New Orleans, Louisiana

Robert L. Wollfarth 504.566.8623 rwoollfarth@bakerdonelson.com

Robert W. Nuzum 504.566.5209 rnuzum@bakerdonelson.com

Baton Rouge, Louisiana

Alton E. "Biff" Bayard III 225.381.7019 abayard@bakerdonelson.com

Jackson, Mississippi

Stacy E. Thomas 601.351.2484 sthomas@bakerdonelson.com

Jon D. Seawright 601.351.8921 jseawright@bakerdonelson.com

David P. Webb 601.969.4678 dwebb@bakerdonelson.com

Spotlight on Tennessee: Department of Revenue Issues Guidance for Financially Troubled Companies, *continued*

Birmingham, Alabama

| | | |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------------------------|
| Thomas J. Mahoney Jr. | 205.250.8346 | tmahoney@bakerdonelson.com |
| William R. Sylvester | 205.250.8372 | bsylvester@bakerdonelson.com |
| Adam S. Winger | 205.250.8381 | awinger@bakerdonelson.com |

Washington, D.C.

| | | |
|------------------|--------------|----------------------------|
| Scott D. Smith | 202.508.3430 | sdsmith@bakerdonelson.com |
| James W. McBride | 202.508.3467 | jmcbride@bakerdonelson.com |

Under requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that, if any advice concerning one or more U.S. federal tax issues is contained in this communication (including any attachments), such advice was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (1) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (2) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or tax-related matter addressed herein.

Receipt of this communication does not signify and will not establish an attorney-client relationship between you and Baker Donelson unless and until a shareholder in Baker Donelson expressly and explicitly agrees IN WRITING that the Firm will undertake an attorney-client relationship with you. In addition, electronic communication from you does not establish an attorney client relationship with the Firm.

The Rules of Professional Conduct of various states where our offices are located require the following language: THIS IS AN ADVERTISEMENT. Ben Adams, CEO and Chairman of the Firm, maintains an office at 165 Madison Avenue, Suite 2000, Memphis Tennessee 38103, 901.526.2000. No representation is made that the quality of the legal services to be performed is greater than the quality of legal services performed by other lawyers. FREE BACKGROUND INFORMATION AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST. © 2011 Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC